arXiv:astro-ph/9602154v1 29 Feb 1996

Light amplification by gravitational waves in scalar–tensor theories of gravity

Valerio Faraoni

Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Victoria
P.O. Box 3055, Victoria, B.C. V8W 3P6 (Canada)

Abstract

It is shown that the amplification of a light beam by gravitational waves in scalar–tensor theories of gravity is a first order effect in the wave amplitudes. In general relativity, instead, the effect is only of second order.

To appear in *Astrophysical Letters and Communications*

Scalar–tensor theories (ST) of gravity have been considered as alternatives to general relativity (GR) for a long time (see e.g. Damour and Esposito–Farese 1992, and references therein). Recent interest in ST theories arises from the facts that in supergravity and superstring theories, scalar fields are associated to the usual tensor field describing gravity (Green *et al.* 1987), and that a coupling between a scalar field and gravity seems unavoidable in string theories (Casas *et al.* 1991). Further motivation for the study of ST theories comes from the extended and hyperextended inflationary scenarios (La and Steinhardt 1989; Accetta and Steinhardt 1990; Steinhardt 1993).

In this Letter, we consider the amplification of a light beam by gravitational waves in ST theories. This phenomenon was studied in the context of GR, but it was discarded as an academic topic because its magnitude is of second order in the waves' amplitude, and therefore exceedingly small (Zipoy 1966; Zipoy and Bertotti 1968; Bertotti 1971; Bertotti and Catenacci 1975). New interest in lensing by gravitational waves in GR arose recently (Faraoni 1992*a, b*; Labeyrie 1993; Durrer 1994; Fakir 1993, 1994*a, b, c*, 1995). In the following, we show that in ST theories the amplification effect is of *first order* in the wave amplitudes, and hence may be of interest in astrophysics. The difference with respect to GR is due to the fact that in ST theories gravitational waves have a spin 0 polarization mode, in addition to the usual spin 2 modes (which are the only present in GR).

We consider the ST theories of gravity described by the action [1]

$$S=\frac{1}{16\pi}\int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\left[R\phi-\frac{\omega(\phi)}{\phi}\,\nabla^\alpha\phi\nabla_\alpha\phi\right]+S_{ng}\;, \qquad (1)$$

where R is the Ricci curvature, ϕ is the cosmological scalar field, g is the determinant of the metric and S_{ng} is the nongravitational part of the action, which we will ignore in what follows. This is not the most general ST theory, since it is possible to replace the term $R\phi$ in the Lagrangian density of Eq. (1) with $Rf(\phi)$, where f is an arbitrary function of ϕ, and to include a cosmological term $\Lambda(\phi)$. However, the action (1) is sufficiently general for our purposes. We refer the reader to the book by Will (1993) for the field equations of the theory, and we consider local perturbations around a flat background. We assume that the spacetime metric and the scalar field are given by

$$g_{\mu\nu}=\eta_{\mu\nu}+h_{\mu\nu}\;, \qquad (2)$$

---

[1] The metric signature is +2. Greek indices run from 0 to 3 and Latin indices run from 1 to 3. A comma and a semicolon denote, respectively, ordinary and covariant differentiation. The Ricci tensor is given by $R_{\mu\nu}=\Gamma^{\sigma}_{\mu\nu,\sigma}-\Gamma^{\sigma}_{\mu\sigma,\nu}+\Gamma^{\rho}_{\mu\nu}\Gamma^{\sigma}_{\rho\sigma}-\Gamma^{\sigma}_{\rho\nu}\Gamma^{\rho}_{\mu\sigma}$. Units in which the Newton constant and the speed of light assume the value unity are adopted. Round [square] brackets denote [anti]symmetrization.

$$\phi = \phi_0 + \varphi \ , \tag{3}$$

in a Cartesian coordinate system, where $\eta_{\mu\nu}$ =diag$(-1, 1, 1, 1)$, ϕ_0 is constant, and the order of magnitude of the perturbations is $|h_{\mu\nu}| \sim |\varphi/\phi_0| = \mathrm{O}(\epsilon)$ in terms of a smallness parameter $\epsilon \ll 1$. Introducing the quantity $\Theta_{\mu\nu} \equiv h_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}\, \eta_{\mu\nu} h - \eta_{\mu\nu}\varphi/\phi_0$ and imposing the transversality condition $\partial^\nu \Theta_{\mu\nu} = 0$, the linearized field equations in vacuum for the perturbations are derived (Will 1993):

$$\Box \Theta_{\mu\nu} = 0 \ , \tag{4}$$

$$\Box \varphi = 0 \ . \tag{5}$$

To first order, one has (Will 1993)

$$R_{\mu\nu} = \frac{\partial_\mu \partial_\nu \varphi}{\phi_0} \tag{6}$$

and $R = 0$. The solutions of Eq. (5) are expressed as Fourier integrals of plane waves

$$\varphi = \varphi_0 \exp\left(\pm\, i\, l_\alpha x^\alpha\right) \ , \tag{7}$$

where φ_0 is a constant and l^μ is a constant vector satisfying $\eta_{\mu\nu} l^\mu l^\nu = 0$. In ST theories, a plane monochromatic wave propagating along a definite direction has a spin 0 ("T0") polarization mode associated to the scalar field φ, in addition to the usual spin 2 modes associated to the tensor field $h_{\mu\nu}$ and familiar from GR (Robinson and Winicour 1969; Wagoner 1970). Therefore, ST theories described by the action (1) are of class N_3 in the *E(2)* classification scheme of Eardley *et al.* (1973*a*, *b*). The presence of the spin 0 mode in ST gravitational waves is responsible for the differences in the amplification effect in ST theories and in GR.

The amplification of a light beam crossing gravitational waves was studied in various papers in the context of GR (Sachs 1961; Zipoy 1966; Bertotti 1971). Following Bertotti (1971), we consider a congruence of null rays propagating from a light source to an observer in the geometric optics approximation. Let k^μ be the tangent field to the null geodesics: the optical scalars and the complex shear of the congruence are defined by

$$\theta = \frac{1}{2}\, k^\alpha{}_{;\alpha} \ , \tag{8}$$

$$|\sigma|^2 = \frac{1}{2}\, k_{(\alpha;\beta)} k^{\alpha;\beta} - \theta^2 \ , \tag{9}$$

$$\omega^2 = \frac{1}{2}\, k_{[\alpha;\beta]}\, k^{\alpha;\beta} \ , \tag{10}$$

$$\sigma = k_{\alpha;\beta}\,\bar{t}^{\alpha}t^{\beta} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left[k_{(\alpha;\beta)}k^{\alpha;\beta} - \frac{1}{2}\left(k^{\alpha}{}_{;\alpha}\right)^2\right]^{1/2} \; , \tag{11}$$

respectively (Sachs 1961). t^{μ} is a complex vector satisfying $t^{\mu}t_{\mu} = k^{\mu}t_{\mu} = 0$, $t_{\alpha}\bar{t}^{\alpha} = -1$ (a bar denoting complex conjugation). The optical scalars satisfy the propagation equations

$$\frac{d\theta}{d\lambda} = -\,\theta^2 - |\sigma|^2 + \omega^2 - \frac{1}{2}\,R_{\mu\nu}k^{\mu}k^{\nu} \; , \tag{12}$$

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\lambda} = -2\,\theta\,\sigma - C_{\mu\nu\rho\tau}\bar{t}^{\mu}k^{\nu}\bar{t}^{\rho}k^{\tau} \equiv -2\,\theta\,\sigma - C(\lambda) \; , \tag{13}$$

$$\frac{d\omega}{d\lambda} = -2\,\omega\,\theta \; , \tag{14}$$

(Sachs 1961), where λ is an affine parameter along the null geodesics and $C^{\mu}{}_{\nu\rho\tau}$ is the Weyl tensor. For ease of comparison with the discussion of Bertotti (1971) in the context of GR, we restrict to the case in which the spacetime metric and the scalar field are given[2] by Eqs. (2) and (3).

In GR, with the metric (2) and gravitational waves of amplitudes $h_{\mu\nu}$ =O(ϵ), the Ricci tensor vanishes. The solution to Eqs. (12)–(14), to the lowest order in ϵ and for a point–like source of light, is then given by $\theta = \lambda^{-1} + \delta\theta$, $\sigma = \delta\sigma$, $\omega = 0$ (Sachs 1961), in the approximation of small $\delta\theta$ and $\delta\sigma$ (para–axial approximation) and with the convention that $\lambda = 0$ at the position of the light source. $\delta\theta$ and $\delta\sigma$ obey the equations

$$\frac{1}{\lambda^2}\frac{d}{d\lambda}\left(\lambda^2\delta\theta\right) = -\,\delta\theta^2 - |\delta\sigma|^2 \; , \tag{15}$$

$$\frac{1}{\lambda^2}\frac{d}{d\lambda}\left(\lambda^2\delta\sigma\right) = -2\,\delta\theta\,\delta\sigma - C(\lambda) \; . \tag{16}$$

From Eqs. (15) and (16) it was deduced that the perturbations in the shear and the expansion of the congruence of null rays have orders of magnitude $\delta\sigma$ =O(ϵ) and $\delta\theta$ =O(ϵ^2) (Bertotti 1971). Thus, the amplification of a pencil of light rays by gravitational waves in GR vanishes to first order, reducing to a second order effect. The same result was obtained by Zipoy (1966) with a different method.

The optical scalars formalism is independent of the field equations of the theory and can be applied also in ST theories. For gravitational waves in ST theories, the Ricci tensor is not identically zero, but is given by Eq. (6). For the simple monochromatic plane wave (7), Eq. (15) is replaced by

---

[2] The physically more relevant situation in which light propagates through a realistic cosmological model exhibits some complications that are unnecessary in order to understand the physics of the effect and can be introduced at a later stage. In this respect, our approach is similar to that of conventional gravitational lens theory (Schneider *et al.* 1992).

$$\frac{1}{\lambda^2}\frac{d}{d\lambda}\left(\lambda^2\delta\theta\right) = -\delta\theta^2 - |\delta\sigma|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\left(l_\mu k^\mu\right)^2 \frac{\varphi}{\phi_0} \; , \tag{17}$$

where the right hand side is dominated by its last term. Equation (17) implies that the expansion of the congruence of null rays is $\delta\theta = \mathrm{O}(\varphi/\phi_0) = \mathrm{O}(\epsilon)$ when $l_\mu k^\mu \neq 0$. Thus, the amplification of a pencil of light rays is a *first order* effect in ST theories, contrarily to GR. The effect disappears (to this order) when l^μ and k^μ are parallel, due to the transversality of ST gravitational waves (expressed by the equation $\partial^\nu\Theta_{\mu\nu} = 0$). The sign of the Ricci term in Eq. (17) oscillates in time with the period of the ST wave, corresponding to an alternation of focusing and defocusing of the beam (amplification and attenuation of the image of the light source). Equation (17) shows clearly that the difference between GR and ST theories consists in the presence of matter (i.e. the scalar field) in the path of the light beam in the latter case[3], while in the GR case lensing is due only to the shear of the gravitational field (compare the description of an ordinary gravitational lens given in Schneider *et al.* (1992) using the vector formalism).

The previous argument suggests that the amplification effect in ST theories could be relevant for astrophysics and perhaps detectable by observations, thus providing a means to distinguish between a ST theory and GR. Unfortunately, whether this is or not the case cannot be decided on the basis of the approach used here. In fact, the previous analysis is based on the optical scalars formalism, which is known to fail even in the qualitative description of many interesting aspects of gravitational lensing, and is not suitable for a quantitative analysis (Schneider *et al.* 1992). A formalism capable of describing lensing by gravitational waves is not available at present, although progress is being made in this direction (Faraoni 1992*a, b*; work in progress). This case is more complicated than lensing by ordinary mass distributions because of the difficulties encountered in applying Fermat's principle to a non–(conformally) stationary spacetime. The lack of literature on this subject is probably due to the fact that the amplification of light was believed to be negligible for gravitational waves in GR. It is hoped that the observation that the effect is much stronger in ST theories than in GR will stimulate further research on this subject.

---

[3] If one describes the ST theory in the "Einstein frame" conformally related to the "Jordan frame" that we are using (see Magnano and Sokolowski (1994) and references therein for the definition of this terminology and a discussion), the Lagrangian in the new frame contains a new scalar field which behaves again as matter in the light beam, giving a first order amplification effect also in the Einstein frame.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the NATO Advanced Fellowships Programme through the National Research Council of Italy (CNR). The author acknowledges the warm hospitality at the University of Victoria.

References

Accetta, F. S. and Steinhardt, P. J. 1990, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **64**, 2740.

Bertotti, B. 1971, in *General Relativity and Cosmology, XLII Course of the Varenna Summer School*, R. K. Sachs ed., Academic Press, New York, p. 347.

Bertotti, B. and Catenacci, R. 1975, *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **6**, 329.

Casas, J. A., Garcia–Bellido, J. and Quirós, M. 1991, *Nucl. Phys. B* **361**, 713.

Damour, T. and Esposito–Farese, G., 1992, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **9**, 2093.

Durrer, R. 1994, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **72**, 3301.

Eardley, D. M., Lee, D. L., Lightman, A. P., Wagoner, R. V. and Will, C. M. 1973*a*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **30**, 884.

Eardley, D. M., Lee, D. L. and Lightman, A. P. 1973*b*, *Phys. Rev. D* **8**, 3308.

Fakir, R. 1993, *Ap. J.* **418**, 202.

——— 1994*a*, *Phys. Rev. D* **50**, 3795.

——— 1994*b*, *Ap. J.* **426**, 74.

——— 1994*c*, preprint UBCTP–94–011.

——— 1995, preprint astro–ph 9507112.

Faraoni, V. 1992*a*, in *Gravitational Lenses*, Proceedings, Hamburg 1991, R. Kayser, T. Schramm and L. Nieser eds., Springer–Verlag, Berlin.

Faraoni, V. 1992*b*, *Ap. J.* **398**, 425.

Green, B., Schwarz, J. M. and Witten, E. 1987, *Superstring Theory*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.

La, D. and Steinhardt, P. J. 1989, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **62**, 376.

Labeyrie, A. 1993, *Astron. Astrophys.* **268**, 823.

Magnano, G. and Sokolowski, L. M. 1994, *Phys. Rev. D* **50**, 5039.

Robinson D. C. and Winicour, J. 1969, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **22**, 198.

Sachs, R. K. 1961, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. A* **264**, 309.

Schneider, P., Ehlers, J. and Falco, E. E. 1992, *Gravitational Lenses*, Springer–Verlag, Berlin.

Steinhardt, P. J. 1993, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **10**, S33.

Zipoy, D. M. 1966, *Phys. Rev.* **142**, 825.

Zipoy, D. M. and Bertotti, B. 1968, *Nuovo Cimento* **56B**, 195.

Wagoner, R. V. 1970, *Phys. Rev. D* **1**, 3209.
Will, C. M. 1993, *Theory and Experiment in Gravitational Physics* (revised edition), Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.